

Note: This document is an English Translation of the “Corporate Governance Report” and prepared solely for the convenience of shareholders who are non-Japanese speakers. In the event of any discrepancy between this translated document and the Japanese original document, the Japanese original text shall prevail. UACJ Corporation does not guarantee the accuracy and/or the completeness of the translation and shall have no liability for any errors or omissions therein.

Corporate Governance

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

UACJ Corporation

Updated: June 23, 2022
UACJ Corporation
Miyuki Ishihara,
Representative Director, President
Contact: Corporate Governance Department
TEL: +81-3-6202-2600 (Main)
Securities code: 5741

<https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/>

The state of corporate governance of UACJ Corporation (the “Company” or “UACJ”) is described below.

I. Basic Views on Corporate Governance, Capital Structure, Corporate Attributes, and Other Key Information

1. Basic Views

The UACJ Corporation believes that corporate governance is the basic framework of corporate management that maintains relationships with its various stakeholders (concerned parties), such as shareholders, customers, suppliers, employees, and local communities. It is our responsibility to earn trust of our customers and suppliers, increase corporate value, and make contributions to our shareholders and other stakeholders by developing, and providing to the market, products, technologies, and services that are useful for society and sufficiently safety-conscious products. Toward that end, we strive to ensure proper disclosure of financial and management information, a strong sense of corporate ethics, strict compliance practices, and thorough risk management. Strengthening the functions of the Board of Directors to maintain a solid management oversight structure, and enhancing the Audit & Supervisory Board functions, are keys to these efforts.

(For your reference)

[UACJ Group Philosophy]

<Corporate Philosophy> Contribute to society by using raw materials to manufacture products that enhance prosperity and sustainability.

<Our Vision> Aluminum is our passion. It inspires our work in building a better world and a healthier environment.

<Our Values> Respect and understand your associates. Embrace honesty and foresight. Be curious and challenging.

[Reasons for Non-compliance with Principles of Japan’s Corporate Governance Code]

There are no matters to be described in this column.

[Disclosure Based on Principles of Japan’s Corporate Governance Code]

Revised

The following are described based on the principles of the Corporate Governance Code revised in June 2021, including the Principles for the prime market that will become effective on April 4, 2022.

[Principle 1.4] (Cross-shareholding)

UACJ maintains strategic shareholdings judged to be necessary for promoting continued business growth and smooth progress by, for example, maintaining or strengthening business ties, advancing business collaborations, or promoting stable procurement of raw materials.

The Company’s policy is to hold the minimum number of shares necessary and to reduce its holdings going forward. Every year, the Board of Directors reviews individual strategic shareholdings, by examining quantitative factors such as economic rationality and qualitative factors such as the purpose of the holding. If, as a result of these examinations, it is determined that maintaining a shareholding is no longer sufficiently meaningful or rational, the shareholding will be gradually divested.

In fiscal 2021, all or a portion of five shareholdings were divested. As of March 31, 2022, UACJ had strategic shareholdings in 28 companies. In fiscal 2022, we continue to sell part of shares held for strategic purposes.

Regarding the exercise of voting rights attached to strategic shareholdings, UACJ takes the position that it should exercise its rights as a shareholder and, in principle, exercises all voting rights on all proposals put before shareholders. Voting rights are exercised on individual proposals based on comprehensive considerations of whether they are consistent with UACJ’s purpose for maintaining the shareholding, would promote sound management at the issuing company, and would enhance the corporate value of both UACJ and the issuing company.

Furthermore, dialogue will be held with issuers with regard to the details of proposal as needed.

[Principle 1.7] (Related Party Transactions)

Any competitive transactions and conflict of interest transactions between the Company and any of its Directors or companies substantially controlled by a Director are subject to the approval of the Board of Directors.

The Company discloses these transactions in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act, and other applicable laws and regulations as well as the rules of the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

[Supplementary Principle 2.4.1] (Ensuring Diversity in the Promotion of Core Human Resources, etc)

The UACJ Group considers that hiring diverse (in terms of race, gender, nationality, religion, age, disability, etc.) employees is the source of the Group's competitiveness from which improvements and innovation are generated. While fostering an organizational culture in which each and every employee can mutually accept differences in their ideas and values, the UACJ Group is committed to ensuring its sustained growth by setting, as one of its six materiality themes, diverse human resources and equal opportunities, which include the promotion of women's active participation, diverse work styles, and recruitment of a diverse workforce.

<Ratio of Female Managers>

While the ratio of female managers in the UACJ Group's global management is currently about 8%, a significant difference is observed between Japan (about 2%) and overseas (about 20%), exhorting the Group to ramp up its efforts particularly in Japan. To this end, in its Third Action Plan launched in March 2020 with the aim of promoting the active participation of women, the Group set up initiatives and goals to achieve a workplace where women can play active roles. Moreover, the Group has implemented a company-wide successor candidate plan that focuses on female employees when selecting candidates for positions from section manager to officer levels. Through these activities, the Group aims to increase the ratio of female managers in Japan to 15% or more by 2030.

<Promotion of Mid-career Hires to Managerial Positions>

In the UACJ Group's domestic member companies, mid-career hires account for approximately 30% of all managerial positions. The Group has actively hired mid-career employees as a means to expand its global business, to enter into new business areas, and to achieve more sophisticated management. Going forward, we will continue to actively hire mid-career hires, from the perspective of promoting our business and ensuring diversity, while developing a working environment in which mid-career hires can play even more active roles through the reform of its corporate culture and employees' working styles.

<Use of Foreign Nationals>

The UACJ Group's domestic member companies have been eager to recruit excellent foreign nationals as new graduate hires and/or mid-career hires. Since the management integration, they have employed 13 foreign nationals in total, under the goal of employing non-Japanese individuals at a rate of approximately 10% of the total number of new graduate hires. Currently, we are working to develop a culture and environment in which foreign human resources can play a more important role in the Group, by addressing issues associated with language, working style, and so forth. Under these circumstances, while we have not yet set numerical goals regarding the promotion of foreign national human resources to managerial positions, we will continue to facilitate the use of a wider variety of non-Japanese employees, including those who are working at the UACJ Group's overseas bases.

Furthermore, as a result of our efforts to promote localization, a number of local staff members, including managers, are working at the UACJ Group's overseas business companies. In the future, we will further promote the use of foreign human resources at a local level, and intend to increase the ratio of foreign national managers at overseas business companies from the current 8% to a more ambitious one, by promoting human resource development initiatives overseas, including the implementation of successor candidate plans.

[Principle 2-6] (Roles of Corporate Pension Funds as Asset Owners)

The Company's pension plan manages assets to provide a stable source of funding. In order to achieve this and to generate long-term positive returns, assets are allocated to appropriately diversified investments after receiving advice from asset managers.

The investment performance of pension assets is monitored appropriately by obtaining performance information regularly from trust banks, life insurance companies, and other asset managers, and sharing the information with the Pension Committee, which is composed of the Company's Directors in charge of the Human Resources Department and the Accounting Department.

[Principle 3-1] (Full Disclosure)

(1) The Company discloses its Group philosophy, medium-term management plan, structural reform, and other information through multiple channels including its corporate website.

UACJ Group Philosophy <https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/company/management/policy.htm>

Medium-term management plan and structural reform <https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/ir/library/presentation.htm>

(2) The Company discloses its basic views on corporate governance through multiple channels including its corporate website and annual securities report.

<https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/csr/management/governance.htm>

(3) The Company discloses the policy for determining the remuneration of Directors and the Audit & Supervisory Board Members through multiple channels including its annual securities report.

<https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/csr/management/governance.htm#ac05>

(4) Candidates for the Company's Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members are nominated by the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee according to the Company's appointment criteria and then proposed by the Committee to the Board of Directors for its discussion and decision-making. In the case of candidates for Audit & Supervisory Board Members, approval from the Audit & Supervisory Board is also required.

The Company's Executive Officers are the individuals who assume the ultimate responsibility for the business or business area assigned to him/her according to the decisions made by the Board of Directors. Candidates for an Executive Officer are nominated by the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee according to the Company's appointment criteria and then proposed by the Committee to the Board of

Directors for its discussion and resolution.

When a candidate for a Director or an Audit & Supervisory Board Member is determined, according to the appointment criteria, to be unsuitable by the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee, or falls under the refusal of reappointment criteria, he/she will not be proposed as a candidate. The Board of Directors will make a decision on his/her appointment based on the Committee's report.

When a Director, Audit & Supervisory Board Member, or Executive Officer falls under the dismissal criteria and is determined to be deserving of dismissal, the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee submits a proposal of dismissal to the Board of Directors, which is responsible for making a decision on the dismissal based on the Committee's proposal.

- (5) The reasons for appointment of all candidates for Directors and Statutory Auditors are disclosed in the Notice of the Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders. The reasons for dismissal of Outside Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Member are also disclosed in the annual securities report and other documents. Policies and procedures for the dismissal and refusal of reappointment are described in (4) above. Notice of Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders <https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/ir/library/annualmeeting.htm>
Annual Securities Report <https://www.uacj.co.jp/ir/library/sr.htm>

[Supplementary Principle 3.1.3] (Sustainability Initiatives, etc.)

We consider that sustainability is an important management challenge, addressing which will help us achieve our corporate philosophy "Contribute to society by using raw materials to manufacture products that enhance prosperity and sustainability." Our sustainability initiatives and their goals have been incorporated and disclosed in our long-term management vision "UACJ Vision 2030" as well as the "Third Mid-term Management Plan" that lasts three years from FY2021.

In the Vision and the Plan, we designated four SDGs to which we should contribute, and defined six materiality themes including "responses to climate change" in FY2021 to achieve the SDGs, with goals and targets up to 2030. At the same time, in order to provide stronger incentives for engaging in sustainability activities, we introduced SDGs-based appraisal program to our short-term performance-linked remuneration for officers, starting from FY2021. We will continue to contribute to social sustainability through proactive efforts to address these challenges.

In particular, working from the premise that the global environment is essential to all life, the UACJ Group believes that addressing climate change proactively is an important responsibility for any member of society, and as such has designated "responses to climate change" as one of the Group's management materiality themes. At the same time, we designated our aim "contribution to the creation of a lighter world" as one of the three major policies of the Third Mid-term Management Plan and are working on it with priority.

As an implementation framework, in April 2021, we established the Climate Change Countermeasures Steering Committee and four working groups (for carbon neutrality, procurement of raw materials, facilitation of the shift to aluminum products, and promotion of aluminum recycling).

In September 2021, we agreed on the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Information Disclosures (TCFD) Recommendations and joined the TCFD Consortium, an organization of advocate companies. Prior to this, in the period from April to June 2021, we launched an initiative to identify risks and opportunities in accordance with the TCFD and disclosed them in the current year's integrated report.

Furthermore, being a company designated as a participant in the "Ministry of the Environment Project to Support Climate Risk/Opportunities Scenario Analysis in Accordance with TCFD," we conducted, in October 2021 an evaluation and analysis of the impact of climate change relevant to our business based on our own scenario, and disclosed the results in January 2022 on our website at <https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/csr/environment/climate.htm>

As an initiative to ensure "Respect for Human Rights," one of our materiality themes, we established a human rights working group across related departments. In collaboration with human rights NGOs, the working group is engaged in the implementation of a Group-wide human rights measures, including human rights policies and human rights due diligence. In March 2022, we established "UACJ's Human Rights Policy," and publicized it on our website at <https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/csr/social/human-rights.htm>

With regard to the investments in human capital and intellectual properties, we designated, in the UACJ Vision 2030, "Human Resources," "Technologies," "DX," and "Corporate Culture" as our critical themes that support the achievement of our vision, and incorporated and disclosed relevant initiatives in the Third Mid-term Management Plan. We will disclose these initiatives that relate to the management challenges and strategies and progress therein, together with progress in the Third Medium-Term Management Plan, etc.

[Supplementary Principle 4.1.1] (Outline of the Scope of Delegation from the Board of Directors to Management)

The Company has introduced an executive officer system whereby it separates the management decision-making and the supervisory body from the business execution function to further strengthen the Board of Directors' functions and increase the speed of business execution. The outline of the executive officer system has been disclosed through various channels including the Company's website and the corporate governance report. Authorities for decision-making, deliberation, approval, and so forth assigned to the Board of Directors, the Management Committee, the President, officers, and other decision-making bodies and decision-makers have been expressly defined according to the decision-making criteria.

[Principle 4-9] (Independence Standards and Qualification for Independent Outside Directors)

The Company appropriately selects candidates for Independent Outside Directors from among individuals who are expected to contribute to candid, active, and constructive deliberations at the Board of Directors meetings, in accordance with the standards prescribed by the Tokyo Stock Exchange and the Company's independence standards, taking into consideration their personnel, capital, and commercial relationships with the Company as well as other interests.

[Supplementary Principle 4.10.1] (Authorities, Roles, etc. of Nomination Committee/Remuneration Committee)

As a voluntary advisory body to the Board of Directors, the Company established the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee that mainly consists of Independent Outside Directors. The aim of the establishment is to strengthen corporate governance by increasing the objectivity

and transparency of the processes for nominating Directors, Executive Officers, and Audit & Supervisory Board Members, as well as the process for making decisions on their remuneration.

Based on the consultation from the Board, the Committee deliberates and adopts resolutions on the following and reports the results to the Board: draft proposals for a general meeting of shareholders regarding the election and dismissal of Directors, Executive Officers, and Audit & Supervisory Board Members; matters related to officers' remuneration; and the Chief Executive Officer successor plan drafts, etc.

The Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee Rules provide for that the Committee shall be: 1) composed of three or more members (a majority of which shall be composed of Independent Outside Directors or Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members) selected by a resolution of the Board of Directors; and 2) chaired by a person selected from among Independent Outside Directors by the Committee's resolution.

[Supplementary Principle 4-11-1] (Views on the Balance, Diversity, and Scale of the Board of Directors)

UACJ's views on the diversity, balance between knowledge, experience, and skills, etc. of the Board of Directors as a whole are substantially the same as those on the nomination of Director candidates. The Company appoints its officers in the best possible way referring to the candidates' personnel appraisal pertaining to their skills, career history (e.g., work experience, position, and qualifications), and so forth.

It has appointed a female Independent Outside Director at the Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders held in June 2015. The Company will continue to work to improve its structure in due consideration of the appropriate balance between knowledge, experience, and skills of the Board of Directors as a whole as well as its diversity and scale.

It has also disclosed a skill matrix, which shows the composition and expertise of its Board of Directors, in the Notice of the General Meeting of Shareholders and integrated reports.

[Supplementary Principle 4-11-2] (Concurrent Positions of Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members)

UACJ annually discloses concurrent positions held by its Outside Directors and Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members at other companies in such documents as the Notice of Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders, Annual Securities Report, and Corporate Governance Report.

One of four Outside Directors has a concurrent position as an Outside Director in a listed company. The remaining three Outside Directors and all Executive Directors do not have any concurrent position in other listed companies.

One of three Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members has a concurrent position as an outside director and executive director in a listed company. The remaining two Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members and all Full-time Audit & Supervisory Board Members do not have any concurrent position in other listed companies.

[Supplementary Principle 4-11-3] (Summary of Analysis and Evaluation Results Concerning Effectiveness of the Board of Directors)

The Company has been actively engaging in efforts to improve the effectiveness of its Board of Directors. These efforts include preparing questionnaires and evaluating the Board's effectiveness by itself, which had been carried out up to FY2019, in addition to a third-party evaluation conducted for the first time in its history in FY2020.

For FY2021, the Company evaluated its Board's effectiveness on its own using the questionnaires applied in the third party evaluation in FY2020 in the aim of continuously following up its efforts for the effectiveness improvement.

Specifically, in the FY2021 evaluation, Directors and Corporate Auditors responded to the questionnaire asking about the Board's composition and operation, relationships with the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee, investors, and shareholders, and other matters, and then the Board's secretary analyzed their answers. Later, the Board evaluated its effectiveness by discussing the result at its meetings.

As a result, it was confirmed, similarly to the previous year, that the Board had an appropriate scale and a good balance between the number of inside Directors and Independent Outside Directors, and that its meetings were operated properly in terms of the frequency, the length of time for discussions, the content and number of agenda items, etc. The Organization also confirmed that lively discussions were held at the meetings of the Board as well as the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee, and that appropriate efforts were made for more useful discussions about the Company's general direction, which was the previous year's agenda, and for better information sharing between the Board and the Committee. On the other hand, the evaluation made us aware of the challenges to be dealt with to improve the Board's effectiveness further, including: advancing discussions on the Company's human resource strategy including the development of global talents and the promotion of diversity as well as the succession plan, and providing more active support to Independent Outside Directors.

To actively responding to these challenges, the Company has decided to proceed with multiple initiatives, including sharing awareness among the Board members, holding sufficient discussions on the response measures at the Board meetings, and establishing better meeting agenda.

We are committed to improving the Board's effectiveness continuously to achieve sustainable growth and improvement of corporate value over the medium- to long-term, taking into consideration the evaluation's results.

For your reference, the Company intends to conduct third-party evaluations at appropriate intervals in the future.

[Supplementary Principle 4-14-2] (Training Policy for Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members)

The Company's training policy is to conduct the below-mentioned training programs at the time of new appointment and during the term of office to enable Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members to execute their duties appropriately.

The Company provides newly-appointed Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members with opportunities to understand their roles and responsibilities, as well as outside officers new to the Company with occasions to deepen their understanding of the Company's business, finance, organization, etc.

As an ongoing training program during the term of office, the Company provides Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members with opportunities to deepen their understanding of governance and discuss issues faced by the UACJ Group, in addition to other training sessions that are appropriate for each individual's knowledge, experience, and skills.

[Principle 5-1] (Policy for Constructive Dialogue with Shareholders)

The Company's Investor Relations Department is responsible for sharing IR information and performing procedures for information disclosure, through organic collaboration with such departments as Corporate Governance, General Affairs & Corporate Communication, Corporate Planning, Finance and Accounting, and Corporate Sustainability.

Quarterly results briefings and other opportunities, such as one-on-one meetings, small meetings, and factory tours, are arranged for shareholders and investors from time to time. As a new initiative, we hold an IR-DAY to help our shareholders and investors understand the Company's business

and provide institutional investors with opportunities to facilitate dialogue with Independent Outside Directors so that they can understand not only our business but our Independent Outside Directors' effective activities.

Furthermore, given its shareholder composition, the Company has a basic policy to visit investors in the US, Europe, and Asia at least once a year. Shareholder relations activities for institutional investors are performed by the Corporate Governance Department, which arranges annual interview sessions with them. Opinions and requests received from institutional investors through the dialogue are fed back, on a timely basis, to the management team including the Board of Directors.

The Company also strives to enhance information disclosure by, for example, providing information on the corporate website and issuing the Integrated Report.

When communicating with investors, attention is paid to the management of insider information by focusing on matters related to the sustainable growth of the Company and the improvement corporate value over the medium to long term, regardless of whether it is a financial result briefing or a small meeting. Opinions and requests from shareholders and investors are reported to the Board of Directors on an as-needed basis, with the aim of making use of them for the management of the Company.

2. Capital Structure

Foreign Shareholding Ratio	20% or more and less than 30%
----------------------------	-------------------------------

[Status of Major Shareholders] Revised

Name	Number of Shares Owned (Shares)	Percentage (%)
Furukawa Electric Co., Ltd.	12,036,500	24.96
The Master Trust Bank of Japan, Ltd. (trust account)	5,283,500	10.95
Nippon Steel Corporation	3,744,609	7.76
GOLDMAN SACHS INTERNATIONAL	1,780,648	3.69
Custody Bank of Japan, Ltd. (trust account)	1,629,400	3.37
ECM MF	1,450,000	3.00
MLI FOR SEGREGATED PB CLIENT	1,005,000	2.08
DFA INTL SMALL CAP VALUE PORTFOLIO	851,799	1.76
The UACJ Group Employee Stock Ownership Plan	796,499	1.65
Sumitomo Corporation	750,000	1.55
Controlling Shareholder (except for the Parent)	_____	
Parent company	None	

Supplementary Explanation Revised

According to the Report of Possession of Large-volume (Change Report), which was made available for public inspection as of April 6, 2022, Effissimo Capital Management Pte Ltd. (hereinafter "Effissimo") owns UACJ shares as of March 31, 2022. However, the Company refrained from including Effissimo in the Status of Major Shareholders above, as the Company has confirmed no beneficial ownership of its shares by Effissimo as of March 31, 2022.

3. Corporate Attributes

Listed Stock Market and Market Section Revised	Tokyo Stock Exchange, Prime Market
Fiscal Year-end	March
Type of Business	Non-ferrous metals
Number of Employees (Consolidated) as of the End of the Immediately Preceding Fiscal Year	1,000 or more
Sales (Consolidated) in the Previous Fiscal Year	100 billion yen or more and less than 1 trillion yen
Number of Consolidated Subsidiaries as of the End of the Immediately Preceding Fiscal Year	10 or more and less than 50

4. Policy on Measures to Protect Minority Shareholders in Conducting Transactions with Controlling Shareholder

5. Special Circumstances Which May Have Material Impact on Corporate Governance

II. Business Management Organization and Other Corporate Governance Systems Regarding Decision-making, Execution of Business, and Supervision in Management

1. Organizational Composition and Operation

Organizational Form	Company with audit & supervisory board
---------------------	--

[Directors]

Maximum Number of Directors Stipulated in Articles of Incorporation	12
Term of Office Stipulated in Articles of Incorporation	1 year
Chairperson of the Board of Directors	President
Number of Directors	10
Appointment of Outside Directors	Appointed
Number of Outside Directors	4
Number of Independent Officers Designated from Among Outside Directors	4

Outside Directors' Relationship with the Company (1) Revised

Name	Attribute	Relationship with the Company*											
		a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	
Ryoko Sugiyama	From another company												
Takahiro Ikeda	From another company								△				
Akio Sakumiya	From another company												
Yoshitaka Mitsuda	Academic												

*Categories for "Relationship with the Company"

*"○" indicates that the director presently falls or has recently fallen under the category, while "△" indicates that the director fell under the category in the past;

*"●" indicates that a close relative of the director presently falls or has recently fallen under the category, while "▲" indicates that a close relative of the director fell under the category in the past.

- a. Executive (a person who executes business; hereinafter the same) of the Company or its subsidiaries
- b. Non-executive director or executive of a parent company of the Company
- c. Executive of a fellow subsidiary of the Company
- d. A party whose major client or supplier is the Company or an executive thereof
- e. Major client or supplier of the Company or an executive thereof
- f. Consultant, accountant, or legal professional who receives a large amount of monetary consideration or other property from the Company besides compensation as a director/auditor
- g. Major shareholder of the Company (or an executive of the said major shareholder if it is a corporation)
- h. Executive of a client or supplier of the Company (which does not correspond to any of d., e., or f.) (the director himself/herself only)
- i. Executive of a company to which outside directors/auditors are mutually appointed (the director himself/herself only)
- j. Executive of a corporation that receives a donation from the Company (the director himself/herself only)
- k. Other

Outside Directors' Relationship with the Company (2) Revised

Name	Designation as Independent Officer	Supplementary Explanation of the Relationship	Reasons for Appointment

Ryoko Sugiyama	○	—	<p>Ms. Ryoko Sugiyama was asked to join the Company as an Outside Director in expectation that she would provide, based on her extensive academic expertise in the environment and her experience in corporate management as a company's director, useful advice from an objective perspective in fields such as ESG, sustainability, and promotion of diversity of the Group, and would properly perform the supervisory function through the Board of Directors. The Company designated her as an Independent Officer considering that she is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.</p>
Takahiro Ikeda	○	<p>Mr. Takahiro Ikeda used to work for Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation ("MCC"), which is a customer of the Company's products. However, since his resignation as MCC's advisor in March 2016, he has not been engaged in the company's business execution. As the volume of transactions with MCC accounts for less than 0.1% of the Company's consolidated sales, MCC is not a Specified Associated Service Provider of the Company.</p>	<p>Mr. Takahiro Ikeda previously served as a director of a major chemical manufacturer and was involved in the management of the company as well as its group companies. He was asked to join the Company's Board of Directors as an Outside Director in expectation that he would provide, based on his extensive management experience and broad perspective on the management, useful advice from an objective perspective in such fields as the Company's domestic and overseas business expansion and risk management, and would properly perform the supervisory function through the Board of Directors. The Company designated him as an Independent Officer considering that he is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.</p>
Akio Sakumiya	○	—	<p>Mr. Akio Sakumiya previously served as a director and executive vice president of a major electronic apparatus manufacturer and was involved in the management of the company as well as its group companies. He also served as a committee member and vice chairman of various advisory committees relating to human resources and remuneration for directors. He was asked to join the Company's Board of Directors as an Outside Director in expectation that he would continue to provide, based on his extensive experience and deep knowledge in corporate governance, useful advice from an objective perspective, and would properly perform the supervisory functions through the Board of Directors. The Company designated him as an Independent Officer considering that he is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.</p>

Yoshitaka Mitsuda	○	_____	Mr. Yoshitaka Mitsuda is considered to be fully qualified as the Company's Independent Outside Director, as he is expected to provide, based on his rich academic knowledge about materials and extensive experience in university management and industry-academia-government collaboration, useful advice from an objective perspective in such fields as the Company's R&D and sustainability, and properly perform the supervisory function through the Board of Directors. The Company designated him as an Independent Officer considering that he is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.
-------------------	---	-------	--

Voluntary Establishment of Committee(s) Equivalent to Nominating Committee or Remuneration Committee

Established

Committee's Name, Composition, and Chairperson's Attributes Revised

	Committee's Name	Total Committee Members	Full-time Members	Inside Directors	Outside Directors	Outside Experts	Other	Chairperson
Voluntary Committee Equivalent to Nominating Committee	Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee	6	0	2	4	0	0	Outside Director
Voluntary Committee Equivalent to Remuneration Committee	Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee	6	0	2	4	0	0	Outside Director

Supplementary Explanation Revised

The Company established a Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee as a voluntary advisory body to the Board of Directors, with the aim of increasing the objectivity and transparency of the processes for the nomination of Directors, Executive Officers, and Audit & Supervisory Board Members, and for the determination of the remuneration of Directors and Executive Officers, and thereby strengthening corporate governance. Serving as both the nomination committee and the remuneration committee, the Committee deliberates, upon request of the Board of Directors, matters concerning the nomination and remuneration of Directors and Executive Officers and reports the results of the deliberation to the Board.

The Committee is chaired by Mr. Takahiro Ikeda, an Independent Outside Director, and composed of six members in total including the Chairperson, i.e., three Independent Outside Directors (Ms. Ryoko Sugiyama, Mr. Akio Sakumiya, and Mr. Yoshitaka Mitsuda), and two Inside Directors (Mr. Miyuki Ishihara and Mr. Teruo Kawashima).

Candidates for the Company's Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members are nominated by the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee according to the Company's appointment criteria and then proposed by the Committee to the Board of Directors for its discussion and decision-making. Appointment of candidates for an Audit & Supervisory Board Member also requires approval of the Audit & Supervisory Board. The Company's Executive Officers are the individuals who assume the ultimate responsibility for the business or business area assigned to him/her according to the decisions made by the Board of Directors. Candidates for an Executive Officer are nominated by the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee according to the Company's appointment criteria and then proposed by the Committee to the Board of Directors for its discussion and resolution.

When a candidate for the Company's Director, Audit & Supervisory Board Member, or Executive Officer is determined, according to the appointment criteria, to be unsuitable by the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee, he/she will not be proposed as a candidate. The Board of Directors will make a decision on his/her appointment based on the Committee's report.

When a Director, Audit & Supervisory Board Members, or Executive Officer falls under the dismissal criteria and is determined to be deserving of dismissal, the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee submits a proposal of dismissal to the Board of Directors, which is responsible for making a decision on the dismissal based on the Committee's proposal.

In fiscal 2021 the Committee held meetings 12 times to discuss mainly the composition of the Board of Directors and its competencies to be possessed, the organization and operation of the criteria for the appointment, dismissal, and non-reappointment of directors, and the policy for executive compensation for fiscal year 2022. The following shows the number of the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee's meetings held in fiscal 2021 and attended by its members registered as of June 22, 2022 (excluding those newly appointed as of the same day):

[Name/Number of Meetings Attended/Percentage of Meetings Attendance]

Ryoko Sugiyama, Independent Outside Director/11 out of 12 meetings held/92%

Takahiro Ikeda, Independent Outside Director/12 out of 12 meetings held/100%

Akio Sakumiya, Independent Outside Director/12 out of 12 meetings held/100%

Yuki Iriyama	○	<p>Mr. Yuki Iriyama used to work for Nippon Steel Corporation (“NS”), a customer of the Company’s products. However, since his resignation as NS’s (part-time) advisor in June 2015, he has not been engaged in the steelmaker’s business execution.</p> <p>As the volume of transactions with NS accounts for less than 0.1% of the Company’s consolidated sales, NS is not a Specified Associated Service Provider of the Company.</p> <p>For your reference, NS is the third largest shareholder of the Company and has a shareholding of 7.76% (net of treasury shares).</p>	<p>Mr. Yuki Iriyama previously served as a managing director of a major steelmaker and has extensive experience in corporate management. He was asked to join the Audit & Supervisory Board based on the judgment that the high degree of legal expertise he has accumulated through his work as an attorney deeply involved in corporate legal affairs would enable him to properly perform the duties of an outside member.</p> <p>The Company designated him as an independent officer considering that he is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.</p>
Hiroyuki Yamasaki	○	—	<p>Mr. Hiroyuki Yamasaki has extensive experience in finance and accounting as a certified public accountant with a thorough knowledge of business accounting. He was asked to join the Audit & Supervisory Board based on the judgment that the advanced accounting expertise developed through his work would enable him to properly perform the duties of an Outside Member.</p> <p>The Company designated him as an independent officer considering that he is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.</p>
Yoshiro Motoyama	○	—	<p>Mr. Yoshiro Motoyama previously served as a director and senior vice president of a major automobile manufacturer and has extensive experience in corporate management. He was asked to join the Audit & Supervisory Board based on the judgment that his broad perspective on management developed through his work would enable him to properly perform the duties of an Outside Member.</p> <p>The Company designated him as an independent officer considering that he is not in a position that could cause a conflict of interest with general shareholders.</p>

[Independent Officers]

Number of Independent Officers	7
--------------------------------	---

Matters Relating to Independent Officers

The Company appropriately appoints, in accordance with the standards prescribed by the Tokyo Stock Exchange and the Company’s independence standards, Independent Outside Directors and Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members from among individuals who are expected to contribute to candid, active, and constructive deliberations at the Board of Directors meetings, taking into consideration their personnel, capital, and commercial relationships with the Company as well as other interests. It also registers each of them at the Exchange as an Independent Officer.

[Incentives]

Implementation of Measures to Provide Incentives to Directors	Performance-linked remuneration
---	---------------------------------

Supplementary Explanation

The remuneration for Directors except for Outside Directors comprises of basic remuneration in the form of fixed remuneration, short-term performance-linked remuneration tied to the level of achievement of the Company’s performance in a single fiscal term, and medium- to long-term performance-linked remuneration that is tied to the level of achievement of the Company’s medium- to long-term performance.

Recipients of Share Options	
-----------------------------	--

[Director Remuneration]

Disclosure of Individual Directors' Remuneration Revised

Individual disclosure for certain Directors

Supplementary Explanation Revised

The Company discloses individual compensation for a part of Directors in its Annual Securities Report in accordance with the provisions of the Cabinet Office Order on Disclosure of Corporate Affairs.

Policy for Determining Remuneration Amounts or Calculation Methods Thereof Revised

Established

Disclosure of Policy for Determining Remuneration Amounts or Calculation Methods Thereof

Policy for determining the amount of remuneration of officers or its calculation method and the determination method

The purpose of the remuneration system for officers of the Company is to encourage Directors to help the Company to continue to meet the expectations of various stakeholders and to create profit that is sufficient to enable the Company to contribute to the society, while allowing them to share risks and benefits of share price fluctuations and raising their motivation to contribute to the enhancement of corporate value and performance improvements over the medium to long term. From this perspective, in order to ensure that the remuneration system functions correctly and to increase its objectivity and transparency, decisions on the specific design and operation of the system are made by the Board of Directors based on the report of the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee, which consists of three or more members selected by a resolution of the Board of Directors (a majority of which shall consist of Independent Outside Directors or Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members).

Instead of delegating the decision-making to its member Directors, the Company's Board made decisions on the amount of individual Directors' remuneration, etc. for the business year under review based on the reports received from the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee. Furthermore, the Board confirmed that the way to determine the details of, and the details themselves of, individual Directors' remuneration, etc. for the business year under review are consistent with the policy resolved by the Board, and that the report from the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee is respected. As a result, the Board concluded that the individual Directors' remuneration is in compliance with the Board's policy.

The basic policy for the remuneration of officers is as follows:

a. Concepts on remuneration for officers

- A remuneration system that adds motivations towards achieving the performance targets (short-term and medium- to long-term) based on the Company's business strategy;
- a remuneration level that is competitive enough to attract talented human resources who can lead the Company's growth and to enhance their motivation to contribute to the Company;
- a remuneration system that involves a highly objective and transparent decision-making process; and
- a remuneration system that can contribute to the sharing of common interests with shareholders and leads to an increased shareholder value.

b. Remuneration system

- The officer remuneration for the Company's Directors comprises of basic remuneration in the form of fixed remuneration, short-term performance-linked remuneration tied to the level of achievement of the Company's performance in a single fiscal term, and medium- to long-term performance-linked remuneration that is tied to the level of achievement of the Company's medium- to long-term performance. The remuneration for Outside Directors consists solely of the basic remuneration, as the main responsibility of Outside Directors is the supervision from an objective and independent perspective.
- Basic remuneration for each officer position is set by referring to remuneration survey data provided by an outside institution specialized in the gathering of this kind of data. Remuneration levels are determined based on comparisons to companies that are in similar industries and are of similar size to the Company.
- For each eligible Director, the standard amount of short-term performance-based remuneration (in cases where the payment rate is 100%; the same applies below) is set at 35% to 40% of his/her basic remuneration.
- For each eligible Director, the standard amount of medium-to long-term performance-based remuneration is set at approximately 25% of his/her basic remuneration.

c. Structure of the performance-linked remuneration

(1) Short-term performance-linked remuneration comprises of: (i) the portion based on the company-wide performance evaluation; (ii) the portion based on the divisional performance evaluation; (iii) the portion based on the SDGs evaluation; and (iv) the portion based on the individual performance evaluation. The payment is made once a year and its amount varies depending on the single-year performance.

- The portion based on the company-wide performance evaluation is calculated using, as performance indicators, the Company's key management indicators as well as important metrics used in the mid-term management plan, namely, consolidated net income, consolidated ROE, consolidated ROIC, and consolidated ordinary income before effects of inventories.
- The portion based on the divisional performance evaluation is calculated using, as performance indicators, each division's ordinary income, ROIC, and ordinary income before effects of inventories that are linked with the company-wide performance indicators.
- The portion based on the SDGs evaluation is intended to evaluate SDGs activities that are engaged by the UACJ Group to achieve sustainable growth together with society. The weight of the portion based on the SDGs evaluation is set at approximately 10% of the total short-term performance-linked remuneration.

- The portion based on the individual performance evaluation is mainly intended to qualitatively evaluate the aspects of significant efforts that are not reflected in the single-year company-wide and divisional performance as well as in SDGs evaluation. The weight of the portion based on the individual performance evaluation is set at approximately 10% of the total short-term performance-linked remuneration.
- The amount fluctuates within the range from 0% to 200% in accordance with the level of achievement, on the basis that the payment rate is set at 100% if the achievement rate against the targets is 100%.

(2) For the medium- to long-term performance-linked remuneration, the Company adopted the restricted stock unit (hereinafter “RSU”) plan and the performance share unit (“PSU”) plan. Under these plans, remuneration is paid in the form of the Company’s shares. The Company also adopted the so-called malus and clawback clause, under which if a Director who is entitled to the remuneration commits certain acts of misconduct as determined by the Company’s Board of Directors, or falls under any other circumstances as determined by the Company’s Board of Directors, the Director loses all or part of non-vested units of stock.

A) RSU

- Under the RSU plan, a Director is entitled to receive shares or cash when he/she serves the Company for at least three consecutive years.
- Units are allocated to each Director on an annual basis. Three years after the allocation, a half of vested units will be paid in the form of shares and the rest is paid in cash.

B) PSU

- Under the PSU plan, Directors receive remuneration in the form of shares or cash. The amount is determined based on the level of achievement of company-wide medium- to long-term performance goals. Once every three years, a three years’ worth of units are allocated. After that, the number of units will fluctuate depending on the performance over the evaluation period (three years), and the units will be paid after three years.
- The indicators used for performance evaluation are the Company’s key management indicators and important metrics in the mid-term management plan, namely, consolidated ROIC (three-year average), consolidated adjusted EBITDA (three-year cumulative total), and consolidated D/E ratio (the final figure at the end of the third year). While the standard payment rate is set at 100% assuming that the level of achievement of goals established at the beginning of the three-year evaluation period is 100%, the actual payment ratio fluctuates in the range of 0% to 200% depending on the level of achievement. After the evaluation using the performance evaluation indicators, the final payment rate is determined within the range of 0% to 200% by evaluating performance based on the value that is obtained by dividing the growth rate of the Company’s total shareholder return (TSR) during the three-year evaluation period by the Tokyo Stock Price Index (TOPIX) Growth Rate.
- After the completion of the evaluation period, half of the vested number of units is paid in the form of shares, and the remaining half is paid in cash.

d. Remuneration determination procedure

- The policies and specific contents of the Company’s officer remuneration are deliberated at the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee and determined by the Board of Directors referring to the Committee’s report. Over half of the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee is comprised of Independent Outside Directors and Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members. Furthermore, advice from external specialists is sought as necessary to provide sufficient information to its members.
- Regarding short-term performance-based remuneration for Directors, the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee confirms, at the beginning of the evaluation period, the targets for: 1) the portion based on the company-wide performance evaluation; 2) the portion based on the divisional performance evaluation; and 3) the portion based on the SDGs evaluation, and, at the end of the evaluation period, the level of achievement of these goals and the amount of payment according to the level of achievement. The Committee also confirms the appropriateness of the portion based on the individual performance evaluation. For medium-to long-term performance-based Director remuneration, the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee confirms the targets at the beginning of the evaluation period, as well as the level of achievement and the amount of remuneration based on the achievement level at the end of the evaluation period.
- Instead of delegating the decision-making to its member Directors, the Company’s Board made decisions on the amount of individual Directors’ remuneration, etc. for the business year under review based on the reports received from the Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee.
- The amount of remuneration for Audit & Supervisory Board Members is determined by consultation among the Audit & Supervisory Board Members within the range approved by the general meeting of shareholders.

(For your reference)

[Shareholding Guidelines] The Company recommends its Directors (excluding Outside Directors) and Executive Officers to own the Company’s shares with the value equal to approximately 25% of their basic remuneration (annual amount) within five years from the date of appointment as an officer.

[Supporting System for Outside Directors (Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members)]

Board meeting materials are distributed to the attendees in advance. Prior briefing sessions on important agenda are provided as necessary to support the attendees.

2. Matters on Business Execution, Audit and Supervision, Nomination and Remuneration Amount Determining Functions (Overview of Current Corporate Governance System) Revised

The Company has introduced an executive officer system whereby it separates the management decision-making and the supervision functions from the business execution function to further strengthen the Board of Directors’ functions and increase the speed of business execution.

The Company’s Board of Directors meetings are held monthly, which are attended by 10 Directors and six Audit & Supervisory Board Members, including four Outside Directors and three Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members, respectively. The meeting agenda includes deliberating important management issues and reporting the status of business execution in compliance with laws and regulations, Articles of Incorporation, and other internal rules. The following shows the number of the Board of Directors’ meetings held in fiscal 2021 and attended by its members registered as of June 22, 2022, the date of the 9th ordinary general meeting of shareholders (excluding Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members newly appointed as of the same day):

[Name/Number of Meetings Attended/Percentage of Meetings Attendance]

Miyuki Ishihara, Representative Director/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%

Teruo Kawashima, Director/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%

Katsuyasu Niibori, Director/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%
Seiichi Hirano, Director/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%
Shinji Tanaka, Director/11 out of 11 meetings held/100% (after his assumption of the Director's position)
Ryoko Sugiyama, Independent Outside Director/12 out of 14 meetings held/86%
Takahiro Ikeda, Independent Outside Director/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%
Akio Sakumiya, Independent Outside Director/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%
Atsushi Sakaue, Full-time Audit & Supervisory Board Member/11 out of 11 meetings held/100% (after his assumption of the Audit & Supervisory Board Member's position)
Yuki Iriyama, Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Member/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%
Hiroyuki Yamasaki, Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Member/13 out of 14 meetings held/93%
Yoshiro Motoyama, Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Member/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%

The Company established a Nomination and Remuneration Advisory Committee as a voluntary advisory body to the Board of Directors, with the aim of increasing the objectivity and transparency of the processes for the nomination of Directors, Executive Officers and Audit & Supervisory Board Members, and for the determination of remuneration of Directors and Executive Officers, and thereby strengthening corporate governance. The Committee deliberates, upon request of the Board of Directors, matters concerning the nomination of Directors, Executive Officers and Audit & Supervisory Board Members, and the remuneration of Directors and Executive Officers and reports the results of the deliberation to the Board. The Committee consists of three or more members (a majority of which shall consist of Independent Outside Directors or Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Members) selected by a resolution of the Board of Directors and chaired by a person selected from among Independent Outside Directors by the Committee's resolution.

In addition, management meetings are held regularly and monthly with the participation of two Full-time Audit & Supervisory Board Members, and 15 Executive Officers, so as to deliberate and consider important management matters and help ensure the proper control of operations by developing common understanding among those responsible for executing business operations.

Directors' term of office is set at one year in order to establish a clear sense of responsibility. UACJ has four Independent Outside Directors. One of them has wide scholarly expertise as a professor of a university as well as management experience as a director of a company; two of them have extensive management experience as a director of a company; and one of them has an objective perspective based on his broad academic experience as a professor emeritus of a university. Together they play a role to ensure the appropriateness of decision making by the Board of Directors. The Articles of Incorporation prescribes that the number of the Company's Directors shall be 12 or less.

As its internal audit mechanism, the Company established the Audit Department that consists of 10 full-time and two part-time staff. The Department is in charge of: developing the annual audit policy and plan in accordance with the internal audit rules; objectively examining and evaluating whether various management activities are carried out by the Company and the Group companies in legitimate and rational manner as well as whether they are executed appropriately in accordance with the Company's business purpose; and reporting the results to the President together with the recommendation of remedial measures.

Furthermore, as its audit and supervisory mechanism, the Company established the Audit & Supervisory Board, an independent body consisting of two Full-time and three Independent Outside Members and meetings are held monthly. It also assigned three employees who are in charge of assisting Members in their performance of duties to the secretariat of the Audit & Supervisory Board, with the aim of enhancing functions of the Board. Mr. Atsushi Sakaue, an Audit & Supervisory Board Member, has long been engaged in the operation of accounting and finance divisions of the Company and has extensive experience and knowledge in finance and accounting. Mr. Hiroyuki Yamasaki, an Audit & Supervisory Board Member, has extensive experience in finance and accounting as a certified public accountant.

The following shows the number of the Audit & Supervisory Board meetings held in fiscal 2021 and attended by its Members registered as of June 22, 2022, the date of the 9th ordinary general meeting of shareholders (excluding Audit & Supervisory Board Members newly appointed as of the same day):

[Name/Number of Meetings Attended/Percentage of Meetings Attendance]

Mr. Atsushi Sakaue, Full-time Audit & Supervisory Board Member/11 out of 11 meetings held/100% (after his assumption of the Audit & Supervisory Board Member's position)

Mr. Yuki Iriyama, Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Member/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%

Mr. Hiroyuki Yamasaki, Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Member/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%

Mr. Yoshiro Motoyama, Independent Outside Audit & Supervisory Board Member/14 out of 14 meetings held/100%

The Audit & Supervisory Board is in charge of: preparing audit policies and plans annually; conducting audits to examine whether the Directors are properly performing their duties including the design and operation of internal control systems; preventing possible risks; efforts to address management challenges; and verifying the appropriateness of accounting auditor's audit approach and audit results.

Audit & Supervisory Board Members are in charge of: communicating with Directors; attending the Board meetings and other important meetings; inspecting important approval and other documents; investigating business operations and assets held at the headquarters and major business establishments; communicating and exchanging information with subsidiaries' directors and audit & supervisory board members; reviewing business reports submitted by subsidiaries; and reviewing the accounting auditor's reports on the performance of audits and audit findings.

As the Company appointed Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu LLC as its accounting auditor, the Company's accounting audits are conducted by Mr. Koichi Yano and Mr. Yasuaki Miura from the firm. They have been engaged in the Company's audit for three and two consecutive years, respectively, and conduct audits based on the annual policy and plan. Their accounting audits are assisted by the staff of 14 certified public accountants, 4 persons who have passed the CPA exam, and 7 other persons. The scope of duties is determined during the audit planning period.

3. Reasons for Adoption of Current Corporate Governance System Revised

The Company adopted the audit and supervisory board system and established the Audit & Supervisory Board consisting of five members including three Independent Outside members, among which two members have finance and accounting knowledge. The Board is responsible for auditing the execution of duties by Directors as an independent body that plays a role in corporate governance by, for example, attending the Board meetings

and other important meetings within the Company, in compliance with the Audit & Supervisory Board Member Audit Standards and in accordance with audit policy, audit plan, and so forth. The Audit & Supervisory Board holds a meeting monthly. The Board's Full-time Members ensure information sharing and sufficient exchange of opinions with Outside Members by submitting regular audit reports to the Outside Members.

III. Implementation of Measures for Shareholders and Other Stakeholders

1. Measures to Energize General Shareholders Meetings and Smooth Exercise of Voting Rights Revised

	Supplementary Explanation
Early Notification of General Shareholders Meeting	The convocation notice is sent seven days prior to the statutory deadline (21 days prior to the date of the general meeting of shareholders) and disclosed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange's website and the Company's website 30 days prior to the date of the general meeting of shareholders. (Notice of convocation of general meeting of shareholders: https://www.uacj.co.jp/ir/library/annualmeeting.htm)
Scheduling Annual General Shareholders Meeting Avoiding the Peak Day	In 2022, the Company held ordinary general meeting of shareholders on June 22.
Allowing Electronic or Magnetic Exercise of Voting Rights	The Company has introduced the exercise of voting rights through the website of its share transfer agent (Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd.).
Participation in Electronic Voting Platform and Other Initiatives for Greater Ease of Voting by Institutional Investors	The Company is a participant of the electronic voting platform operated by ICJ, Inc.
Providing Convocation Notice in English (Translated Fully or Partially)	Since the ordinary general meeting of shareholders in June 2017, the Company has disclosed an English translation of the "Reference Documents for the General Meeting of Shareholders" contained in the convocation notice on its website and the website of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. (Notice of convocation of general meeting of shareholders in English: https://www.uacj.co.jp/english/ir/library/annualmeeting.htm)
Other	The Notice of the Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders and other relevant information is published on the Company's website.

2. IR Activities Revised

	Supplementary Explanation	Explanation by Representative
Preparation and Publication of Disclosure Policy	The Company's disclosure policy is published on the Company's website.	
Regular Investor Briefings for Individual Investors	Financial status briefing sessions and factory tours are arranged for individual shareholders. As a new initiative in FY2021, the Company held an IR-DAY for shareholders and investors.	Yes
Regular Investor Briefings for Analysts and Institutional Investors	Quarterly results briefings and other opportunities, such as one-on-one meetings and small meetings, are arranged.	Yes
Regular Investor Briefings for Overseas Investors	Given its shareholder composition, the Company has a basic policy to visit investors in the US, Europe, and Asia at least once a year.	Yes
Posting of IR Materials on Website	The Company's IR materials posted on its website include financial results information and other timely-disclosure materials, annual and quarterly securities reports, results briefing materials, the status of corporate governance, and notice of general meeting of shareholders.	
Establishment of Department and/or Manager in Charge of IR	The Company established Investor Relations Department.	

3. Measures to Ensure Due Respect for Stakeholders

	Supplementary Explanation
Provisions to Ensure Due Respect for Stakeholders in Internal Rules, etc.	Prescribed as part of the UACJ Group Code of Conduct.
Implementation of Environmental Activities, CSR Activities, etc.	Integrated Reports are prepared and posted on the Company's website.
Formulation of Policies for Information Provision to Stakeholders	The basic policy on information disclosure, etc. is prescribed as part of the disclosure policy and is published on the Company's website.

IV. Matters Related to Internal Control System

1. Basic Views on Internal Control System and Progress of System Development

The Company and its Group have established the following internal control system in accordance with the Companies Act and the Ordinance for Enforcement of the Companies Act.

- (1) Systems for ensuring execution of duties by Directors and employees of the Company and the Group is in compliance with the laws and regulations and the Articles of Incorporation
 - The Company and its Group aim to be a corporate group that acts in accordance with the management philosophy and action guidelines and complies with laws and regulations and the Articles of Incorporation.
 - Employee training is provided mainly by the CSR Committee by holding seminars and distributing manuals. Moreover, compliance activities are promoted by taking such measures as detecting regulatory violations.
 - An internal reporting system has been put in place for early detection and correction of compliance violations.
 - The Internal Auditing Department acts as the Company's internal audit unit. It monitors the performance of duties in individual business units, audits the effectiveness of internal control system, and reports findings to the Board of Directors.
- (2) Systems for the retention and management of information on the execution of duties by Directors
 - Information on the execution of duties by Directors, including Board meeting minutes and approval documents, is prepared and retained in accordance with internal rules.
 - Directors and Audit & Supervisory Board Members can access necessary information whenever they need.
- (3) Provisions and other systems concerning the management of the risk of loss for the Company and its Group
 - The Company and its Group properly address, in accordance with internal rules, environmental, safety, health, quality, information security, export management, and other risks shared by the Company and its Group as a whole. Risks specific to particular business units are managed by the units and reported to the CSR Committee for cross-sectional risk management.
- (4) Systems for ensuring efficient execution of duties by Directors of the Company and its Group
 - The Company and its Group ensure that effective execution of duties is carried out by such means as establishing a division of duties in accordance with internal rules.
 - Individual business units are responsible for setting specific targets for the mid-term management plans and single fiscal year budgets prepared by the Company and managing their achievement.
- (5) Systems for ensuring the propriety of operations by the subject corporation and the corporate group consisting of it and its parent company and/or subsidiaries
 - The UACJ Group establishes and puts in place an internal control system.
 - The Internal Auditing Department conducts operational audits. The audit results are reported to the Audit & Supervisory Board Members and the Representative Director to ensure strict compliance by the UACJ Group as a whole. Furthermore, the Company's affiliates are required to consult with the Company on important management issues according to their own internal rules. If required by circumstances, the Company will review its rules concerning the management of affiliates to ensure the propriety of business activities carried out by the Group.
- (6) Matters concerning employees to be assigned to assist the Audit & Supervisory Board Members at their request
 - The Company appoints employees who are to assist the duties of the Audit & Supervisory Board Members under the instructions of the Members.
- (7) Matters concerning the independence of the employees referred to in the previous item from Directors and the issuance of effective instructions to the employees
 - The employees referred to above are kept independent from the Directors' instructions and supervision and their personnel affairs must be agreed on in advance by the Audit & Supervisory Board.
- (8) Systems enabling Directors and employees of the Company and its Group to make a report to the Audit & Supervisory Board Members and systems for other reports to the Audit & Supervisory Board Members
 - When any of the following are found during the course of duties, Directors, Executive Officers, and employees of the Company and its Group must report them immediately to the Company's Audit & Supervisory Board Members: any violations against laws and regulations or the Articles of Incorporation; fraudulent activities committed; or any facts that may cause serious damage to the Company or its Group.
 - Directors, Executive Officers, and employees must make a report or resolution based on the Board of Directors Rules and other internal rules at the Board meetings or other meetings attended by the Audit & Supervisory Board Members.
 - It is prohibited to give disadvantageous treatment to the Company's or its Group's Director, Executive Officer, or employee who made a report to the Company's Audit & Supervisory Board Members for the reason that he/she made that report.
- (9) Other systems for ensuring that audits by the Audit & Supervisory Board Members can be performed effectively
 - The Board of Directors must ensure the attendance of the Audit & Supervisory Board Members at important meetings including Board meetings and management meetings.
 - Audit & Supervisory Board Members and the Representative Director must hold meetings regularly to exchange views.

- When a request is received from the Audit & Supervisory Board Members to ensure the effectiveness of their audits, Directors, Executive Officers, and heads of relevant units must respond in good faith.
- Expenses stipulated in Article 388 of the Companies Act shall be handled in accordance with rules.

2. Basic Views on Eliminating Antisocial Forces and Progress of Related Efforts

(1) Basic views on efforts to eliminate antisocial forces

- The Company and its Group established the Group Code of Conduct that provides guidelines Directors and employees must follow to achieve the Group’s philosophy. The requirement concerning the rejection of relationships with antisocial forces has been provided for in Article II-16 of the Code and communicated to all employees.

(2) Efforts to eliminate antisocial forces

- The General Affairs & Corporate Communication Department and local teams in charge of general affairs are assigned to respond to antisocial forces. A response manual has been provided and communicated to the general affairs personnel so that they can reject unreasonable demands in a resolute manner and refuse any relationship with antisocial forces.
- The General Affairs & Corporate Communication Department plays a central role in collecting and managing information on antisocial forces and in maintaining close communication and relationships with external expert organizations, the police, and the legal counsel of the Company.
- The Corporate Governance Department organizes an annual training session to provide all employees with compliance education including the elimination of antisocial forces.

V. Other

1. Adoption of Anti-takeover Measures

Adoption of Anti-takeover Measures	Not adapted
------------------------------------	-------------

Supplementary Explanation

2. Other Matters Concerning Corporate Governance System

(1) Other matters concerning corporate governance system


The Company recognizes that strict compliance and effective risk management are the key challenges in the field of corporate governance and assigned the CSR Committee to oversee the corporate governance of the entire UACJ Group.

(2) Outline of timely disclosure system

The Company discloses the following corporate information on a timely basis to ensure the timely and appropriate disclosure of corporate information of the Company and its subsidiaries:

- The Director in charge of the corporate communication is assigned as the person responsible for disclosure, and the General Manager of the General Affairs & Corporate Communication Department is assigned as the person in charge of clerical administrative procedures.
- Any information that potentially falls under the matters for disclosure is reported immediately to the General Manager of the General Affairs & Corporate Communication Department by the head of the department in charge of the matter in accordance with the rules and regulations on timely disclosure prescribed by financial instruments exchanges. Information held by a subsidiary is reported immediately to the head of the Company’s department in charge of the subsidiary by the representative of the subsidiary. The head of the department reports the information immediately to the General Manager of the General Affairs & Corporate Communication Department.
- Upon receipt of the report above, the General Manager of the General Affairs & Corporate Communication Department determines whether it is necessary to disclose the reported information in light of the rules and regulations on timely disclosure through consultation with the General Manager of the Corporate Governance Department as necessary. If it is determined necessary, the General Manager discloses it in accordance with the rules and regulations on timely disclosure after obtaining approval of the heads of departments concerned and the President.


The timely disclosure flow described above is as depicted in the “Structure Diagram (Timely Disclosure System).”



Structure Diagram (Timely Disclosure System)

Timely disclosure flows for information of the Company and its subsidiaries

<The Company's information flow>



<Subsidiary's information flow>

